UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,000	01/08/2007	Xiaobing Wu	ZLO-102	8805
23557 7590 01/16/2009 SALIWANCHIK LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION			EXAMINER	
			HURT, SHARON L	
3107 SW Williston Road GAINESVILLE, FL 32608			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/576,000	WU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SHARON HURT	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this o O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-11</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-11</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement					
one of the control of	ana, or oloodon roquiromoni.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) te				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-3, drawn to a recombinant herpes simplex virus.

Group II, claim(s) 4, drawn to a method for the production of a recombinant herpes simplex virus.

Group III, claim(s) 6, drawn to a method for production and preparation of a recombinant adenoassociated virus.

Group IV, claim(s) 7, 9 and 11, drawn to a method for isolation and purification of recombinant adeno-associated virus.

Group V, claim(s) 8, drawn to a recombinant vector plasmid pSNAV-NX.

Group VI, claim(s) 10, drawn to a method for purification of recombinant adeno-associated virus serotype 1, 3, 4, 5 or 6.

According to PCT Rule 13.2 unity of invention exists only when there is a shared same or corresponding special technical feature among the claimed inventions. All the groupings are directed to a recombinant virus and a method of production but each group has a different technical feature not shared by the remaining groups. Groups I - III are directed to a recombinant herpes simplex virus and a method of production and a method of use, which have

Art Unit: 1648

the technical feature of a herpes simplex virus, which is not shared by any of the remaining groups. Group IV is directed to a method for the isolation and purification of recombinant adeno-associated virus, which has the technical feature of isolating a recombinant adeno-associated virus. Group V is directed to a recombinant vector plasmid, which is structurally different from a recombinant virus, which has the technical feature of a recombinant vector plasmid pSNAV-NX. Group VI is directed to a method for purification of recombinant adeno-associated virus serotype 1, 3, 4, 5 or 6, which can be structurally different from a recombinant adeno-associated virus from Group III, which can be an adeno-associated virus serotype 2.

In the instant case, Groups I - III, which are directed to a recombinant herpes simplex virus and a method of production and a method of use, which has the shared technical feature of a herpes simplex virus; however it does not contribute a special technical feature. Byrne et al. (WO/2000/17377 A1) (see IDS 3/5/2008, F2) teach HSV-1 amplicons that supply all necessary helper functions required for rAAV packaging and methods of use. Byrne et al. also teach methods for preparing high-titer rAAV vector compositions. Therefore the shared technical feature is not a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2. Since Applicant's invention does not contribute a special technical feature when viewed over the prior art they do not have a single inventive concept and thus the claims lack unity of invention. Therefore, the instant invention lacks Unity of Invention and restriction is set forth as it applies to U.S. practice.

Note that PCT Rule 13 does not provide for multiple products or methods within a single application. Because the shared technical feature of Groups I-III is not a special technical feature, and because the technical features of the Groups I - III inventions are not present in the Group IV – VI claims, unity of invention is lacking.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim

Application/Control Number: 10/576,000 Page 5

Art Unit: 1648

will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder**. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHARON HURT whose telephone number is 571-272-3334. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sharon Hurt

January 14, 2009 /Bruce Campell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1648